

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,820	04/12/2001	Takahiko Saito		5300

7590

09/26/2002

Jay H. Maioli Cooper & Dunham 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

EXA	MINER
GRAY,	DAVID M
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/833,820	SAITO ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	David M Gray	2851	
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sneet wi	th the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed ( (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this commu	inication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	April 2001		
•	is action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal may	ers prosecution as to the	
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	1. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ents is
4)⊠ Claim(s) 32-56 is/are pending in the application	ın,		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	on order and it.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-56</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		;	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application Papers	ologion requirement.	`\	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠		by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	Ce See 37 CED 1 05(a)	
The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) dis	approved by the Examiner	
if approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.	Transcription Examinor.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		1-(4) (4) 01 (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in App	lication No. 08/026 445	
Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure     See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	Driority under 35 LI S C 24	10(a) (to a personal and a second	
The translation of the foreign language provi     Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	Signal application has been	ropolicod	ation).
Tachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.5.7		mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<del></del> ·
retent and Trademark Office 1-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Actio			

400

Art Unit: 2851

### Priority

The specification, as filed, contains reference to applications 08/329,546 and 08/026,415. Therefor the amendment to the specification filed 4/12/01, amending the continuity data, is incorrect. Additionally, several of the applications listed in the continuity data have become patents and such information should be listed.

#### **Drawings**

The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 7/19/01. These drawings are accepted.

## Specification

A substitute specification including the abstract but excluding the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the text quality is so poor as to render portions unreadable.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and must be accompanied by: 1) a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter; and 2) a marked-up copy showing the amendments to be made via the substitute specification relative to the specification at the time the substitute specification is filed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/833,820

Art Unit: 2851

dire

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims32-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wash (4,974,096) and European Patent Application 0 428 072 A2 (here after '072 reference).

Wash differs from the claimed invention in that Wash provides magnetic data recording tracks for storing data in the camera and in the laboratory. Wash teaches that "optical recording of eye-readable symbols or machine readable symbols" is known for photographic film.

The '072 reference teaches providing a film with optical and magnetic data recording.

The '072 reference teaches that the camera records data optically and the photo lab records data magnetically. This provides the benefit of a simplified camera, as magnetic recording requires steady state film driving for accurate recording. And provides the benefit of data which cannot be accidentally erased after the film is developed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the Wash device to use a film such as that of the '072 reference. One would have been motivated to so modify Wash for the benefit of use with the film taught by the '072 reference.

The Wash statement "optical recording on the film has only limited use, because once the film has been developed no further recording may be done" does not teach away from the claimed combination as the '072 reference recognizes this limitation of optical data recording.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Milch and Farrell et al. references teach optical data reading from photographic film for use in a film scanner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M Gray whose telephone number is 703-308-1698. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 703-308-2847. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

David M Gray Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851